## the plant journal

The Plant Journal (2012) 71, 327-337

# A promoter-swap strategy between the *AtALMT* and *AtMATE* genes increased Arabidopsis aluminum resistance and improved carbon-use efficiency for aluminum resistance

Jiping Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoying Luo<sup>1,2</sup>, Jon Shaff<sup>1,3</sup>, Cuiyue Liang<sup>1,4</sup>, Xiaomin Jia<sup>1,3</sup>, Ziyan Li<sup>1,5</sup>, Jurandir Magalhaes<sup>6</sup> and Leon V. Kochian<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, United States Department of Agriculture/Agricultural Research Service, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA,

<sup>2</sup>Biotechnology Research Center, Southwest University, Chongqing, China,

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853, USA,

<sup>4</sup>Root Biology Center, South China Agriculture University, Guangzhou, China,

<sup>5</sup>College of Resource and Environmental Science, Northwest Sci-Tech University of Agriculture and Forestry, Yangling, China, and

<sup>6</sup>Embrapa Maize and Sorghum, 35701-970 Sete Lagoas, Brazil

Received 4 November 2011; revised 7 March 2012; accepted 9 March 2012; published online 22 May 2012. \*For correspondence (e-mail leon.kochian@ars.usda.gov).

#### SUMMARY

The primary mechanism of Arabidopsis aluminum (AI) resistance is based on root AI exclusion, resulting from Al-activated root exudation of the Al<sup>3+</sup>-chelating organic acids, malate and citrate. Root malate exudation is the major contributor to Arabidopsis AI resistance, and is conferred by expression of AtALMT1, which encodes the root malate transporter. Root citrate exudation plays a smaller but still significant role in Arabidopsis Al resistance, and is conferred by expression of AtMATE, which encodes the root citrate transporter. In this study, we demonstrate that levels of Al-activated root organic acid exudation are closely correlated with expression of the organic acid transporter genes AtALMT1 and AtMATE. We also found that the AtALMT1 promoter confers a significantly higher level of gene expression than the AtMATE promoter. Analysis of AtALMT1 and AtMATE tissue- and cell-specific expression based on stable expression of promoter-reporter gene constructs showed that the two genes are expressed in complementary root regions: AtALMT1 is expressed in the root apices, while AtMATE is expressed in the mature portions of the roots. As citrate is a much more effective chelator of Al<sup>3+</sup> than malate, we used a promoter-swap strategy to test whether root tip-localized expression of the AtMATE coding region driven by the stronger AtALMT1 promoter (AtALMT1p::AtMATE) resulted in increased Arabidopsis AI resistance. Our results indicate that expression of AtALMT1p:::AtMATE not only significantly increased AI resistance of the transgenic plants, but also enhanced carbon-use efficiency for AI resistance.

Keywords: aluminum resistance, transporter protein, organic acid exudation, promoter swap, carbon-use efficiency, *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

#### INTRODUCTION

Aluminum (AI) is ubiquitous in soils because the clay fraction of soils consists of aluminosilicate complexes. In acidic soils with pH values below 5.0, AI is solubilized as highly phytotoxic AI<sup>3+</sup>, which inhibits root growth, damages root systems, and causes significant reductions in crop yields (Rao *et al.*, 1993; Kochian *et al.*, 2004). As approximately 30% of the world's total land area and over 50% of the world's potentially arable lands are acidic, AI toxicity is a major limitation to crop production worldwide (von Uexküll and Mutert, 1995; Matsumoto, 2000; Wood *et al.*, 2000; Yamamoto *et al.*, 2002; Sivaguru *et al.*, 2003; Kochian *et al.*, 2004; Horst *et al.*, 2010).

Many plant species have evolved AI resistance mechanisms based on exclusion of  $AI^{3+}$  from the root tip or sequestration of  $AI^{3+}$  that has entered the plant cells into subcellular compartments such as the vacuoles (Kochian *et al.*, 2004, 2005; Larson *et al.*, 2007; Ryan *et al.*, 2011). The Al exclusion mechanism is mediated by efflux of Al-chelating organic acids from root apices into the rhizosphere. The released organic acids detoxify  $Al^{3+}$  by forming stable, nontoxic complexes with  $Al^{3+}$  in the rhizosphere, limiting the uptake of toxic  $Al^{3+}$  into root cells (Kochian *et al.*, 2004, 2005; Ryan *et al.*, 2011).

Malate and citrate are the most common organic acids that are released from roots as part of the Al exclusion mechanism employed by a wide range of plant species (Kochian *et al.*, 2004, 2005). Therefore, it is not surprising that the first two Al resistance genes cloned from crop plants were those encoding an Al-activated malate transporter in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004), and Al-activated citrate transporters in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007; Furukawa *et al.*, 2007).

In wheat, the *Alt1* locus is largely responsible for Al resistance. This locus is associated with Al-activated malate efflux from root apices of tolerant wheat genotypes (Delhaize *et al.*, 1993a,b). The *Alt1* locus was subsequently identified as the gene that encodes the wheat aluminum-activated malate transporter, TaALMT1 (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004). *TaALMT1* is constitutively and specifically expressed in the root apices and is responsible for Al-activated root malate exudation and Al resistance in wheat (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004; Raman *et al.*, 2005).

Similarly, the AI resistance locus Alt<sub>SB</sub> in sorghum co-segregated with AI-activated citrate exudation from root tips (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2004). The gene underlying the Alt<sub>SB</sub> locus is a member of the multi-drug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family of membrane transporters in sorghum (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007). SbMATE encodes a citrate transporter that mediates AI-activated citrate efflux from the root apices of AI-tolerant sorghum lines (Magalhaes *et al.*, 2007). HvMATE (HvAACT1) has been identified as the barley AI resistance gene, encoding a root membrane transporter that facilitates AI-activated citrate efflux from root tips (Furukawa *et al.*, 2007).

Functional homologs of the ALMT1 and MATE family have been identified in other plant species, including rye (*Secale cereale*) (Collins *et al.*, 2008; Yokosho *et al.*, 2010), maize (*Zea mays*) (Maron *et al.*, 2010), wheat (Ryan *et al.*, 2009), Brassica (Ligaba *et al.*, 2006) and Arabidopsis (Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2009).

In Arabidopsis, Al-activated root malate release has been reported to be the major Al resistance mechanism (Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006). *AtALMT1*, a member of the Arabidopsis *ALMT* family, has been confirmed as a functional homolog of *TaALMT1* in Arabidopsis. Like *TaALMT1*, expression of *AtALMT1* is specifically localized to roots (Sasaki *et al.*, 2004; Raman *et al.*, 2005; Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006). However, unlike *TaALMT1*, which is constitutively expressed in roots of wheat Al-resistant genotypes, expression of *AtALMT1* is strongly induced by Al within 24 h of Al treatment (Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2009). The function of *AtALMT1* in Arabidopsis Al resistance has been verified by genetic and physiological studies. A homozygous T-DNA insertion in the first exon of the *AtALMT1* gene disrupted the function of AtALMT1, leading to the abolishment of Al-activated root malate efflux and a strongly hypersensitive phenotype for the *AtALMT1* knockout (*AtALMT1-KO*) mutant (Hoekenga *et al.*, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2009).

Upon Al stress, Arabidopsis also releases a smaller amount of citrate from roots, and this is mediated by AtMATE, a functional homolog of SbMATE (Liu et al., 2009). Like AtALMT1, expression of AtMATE is strongly and rapidly induced in root tissues by Al stress (Liu et al., 2009). The role of AtMATE-mediated root citrate release in AI resistance in Arabidopsis has been demonstrated via reverse genetics studies. A homozygous T-DNA insertion in the AtMATE promoter disrupted Al-induced AtMATE expression and abolished Al-activated AtMATE-mediated root citrate exudation (Liu et al., 2009). This AtMATE knockdown mutant (AtMATE-KD) showed moderate sensitivity to AI that was more clearly visualized in the AtALMT1-KO background: the AtALMT1-KO/AtMATE-KD double mutant is more sensitive to Al stress than the AtALMT1-KO single mutant (Liu et al., 2009). It has been estimated that AtALMT1-mediated root malate exudation accounts for 70% of Arabidopsis Al resistance, while AtMATE-mediated root citrate exudation accounts for the other 30% (Liu et al., 2009).

Organic acids are a valuable carbon resource and are essential for plant growth and development. This is especially true of citric acid, which, as an important intermediate in the Krebs (tricarboxylic acid) cycle, plays an essential role in the metabolism of virtually all living things (Berg *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, use of organic acid efflux as a resistance weapon against Al stress carries a significant energy cost for the plant, which may negatively affect plant yields. Therefore, well-balanced and finely controlled Al-activated root organic acid exudation is essential not only for Al resistance but also for maintaining sustainable growth and yields under Al stress.

Citrate has been demonstrated to be a better chelator for  $AI^{3+}$  than malate (Delhaize *et al.*, 1993b; Ryan *et al.*, 1995; Ma, 2000; Ryan *et al.*, 2001), as the three carboxyl groups of citrate more effectively stabilize the citrate–AI complex than the two carboxyl groups in malate do. Because of this, and given the greater role that AI-activated root malate exudation plays in Arabidopsis AI resistance (Liu *et al.*, 2009), we used a promoter-swap strategy to evaluate the transcriptional regulation of AI-activated root malate and citrate exudation and the effectiveness of malate and citrate for AI resistance in Arabidopsis. Our results indicate that Arabidopsis AI resistance can be enhanced by combining the stronger *AtALMT1* promoter with the functionally more effective AtMATE citrate efflux transporter.

#### RESULTS

# Transgenic AtALMT1 and AtMATE promoters retain their Al inducibility, but are weaker than their corresponding native promoters

In a previous study, we demonstrated that transcription of *AtALMT1* and *AtMATE* is fully induced in the root but not in shoot tissues of Arabidopsis after 24 h Al treatment (Liu *et al.*, 2009). In the present study, to characterize the promoter strength of these two genes, we compared the relative expression levels of both genes in the roots of wild-type plants. Our results indicated that the transcript abundance for both *AtALMT1* and *AtMATE* increased approximately 2.6-fold after 24 h Al treatment (Figure 1a). The abundance of Al-induced *AtALMT1* transcripts was approximately 7–8-fold higher than that of Al-induced *AtMATE* transcripts, suggesting that the promoter of the *AtALMT1* gene is much stronger than the *AtMATE* promoter (Figure 1a). The



**Figure 1.** Relative expression patterns for *AtALMT1* (a, c) and *AtMATE* (b). The *18S* gene was used as an internal control. All of the data were normalized to a single calibrator. Open bars, without Al; closed bars, with Al. Note that, in (c), the scale on the *y* axis ranges from 0 to 70, whereas the *y* axis values range from 0 to 7000 in (a) and from 0 to 800 in (b), in order to accommodate the differences in transcript abundance for *AtALMT1* versus *AtMATE* in wild-type plants (a versus b), and the differences in transcript abundance for *AtALMT1* in the transgenic lines (b versus c).

expression patterns for *AtMATE* and *AtALMT1* were similar to those of the wild-type in the *AtALMT1-KO* and *AtMATE-KD* mutant backgrounds, respectively, indicating that *At-MATE* and *AtALMT1* expression are independent of each other, consistent with our previous findings (Figure 1) (Liu *et al.*, 2009).

To evaluate the role of transcriptional regulation of the AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes and the effectiveness of malate and citrate in Al resistance, a promoter-swap strategy was used. A 2.1 kb promoter region was amplified by PCR for the AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes, and the PCR fragments were fused to PCR-amplified genomic coding regions of the AtMATE and AtALMT1 genes, respectively. The resulting promoter-swap constructs were individually transformed into the double mutant AtALMT1-KO/AtMATE-KD line (double-KO/KD). In the double-KO/KD line, the levels of Al-induced root AtALMT1 and AtMATE transcripts (Figure 1a,b) and the rates of Al-activated root malate and citrate exudation (Figure 3) were greatly suppressed by the T-DNA insertions, leading to an AI hypersensitive phenotype for the double mutant (Figure 4). As a result, the *double-KO*/ KD line provides a clear background for evaluating the relationships between expression of the transgenes, the levels of the organic acid exudation mediated by the transgenes, and AI resistance contributed by the transgenes.

Compared to the double-KO/KD line, the AtALMT1 Promoter::AtMATE transgenic line (AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE) and the AtMATE<sub>Promoter</sub>::AtALMT1 transgenic line (AtMATE<sub>P</sub>:: AtALMT1) showed large increases in basal and Al-induced AtMATE and AtALMT1 transcript abundance, respectively (Figure 1b,c). In addition, the two transgenes also showed similar degrees of AI inducibility to their corresponding native genes in the wild-type. As shown in Figure 1(b), in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line, Al induced a 2.8-fold increase in the level of AtMATE transcripts driven by the transgenic AtALMT1 promoter, which is comparable to the 2.6-fold Al induction of AtALMT1 transcript abundance driven by the native AtALMT1 promoter in the wild-type background (Figure 1a). However, in contrast to the 2.6-fold increase in the level of AtMATE transcript driven by the native AtMATE promoter in the wild-type background (Figure 1b), Al induced an approximately 5.3-fold increase in the AtALMT1 transcript abundance driven by the transgenic AtMATE promoter in the AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1 line (Figure 1c; note amended y axis scale). These results indicated that the transgenic AtALMT1 and AtMATE promoters retain the ciselements necessary for Al-induced transgene expression.

However, when the Al-induced *AtMATE* transcript abundance driven by the chimeric *AtALMT1* promoter in the *AtALMT1*<sub>P</sub>::*AtMATE* line (Figure 1b) is compared to that of Al-induced *AtALMT1* driven by the native *AtALMT1* promoter in the wild-type background (Figure 1a), the latter was found to be approximately 7.4 times higher than the former. Similarly, the Al-induced *AtMATE* transcript abundance

driven by its native *AtMATE* promoter in the wild-type (Figure 1b) was approximately 11 times higher than that of Al-induced *AtALMT1* transcripts driven by the transgenic *AtMATE* promoter in the *AtMATE*<sub>P</sub>::*AtALMT1* background (Figure 1c). These results indicate that the transgenic *AtAL-MT1* and *AtMATE* promoters are much weaker than the native *AtALMT1* and *AtMATE* promoters functioning in the wild-type background.

#### AtALMT1 and AtMATE are expressed in different and complementary regions of the Arabidopsis root

Our previous results indicated that expression of both AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes is induced by Al in root tissues, but not in shoot tissues (Figure 1) (Liu et al., 2009). To further characterize tissue-specific AtALMT1 and AtMATE expression patterns in planta, promoter-β-glucuronidase (AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::GUS and AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::GUS) fusion constructs were expressed in transgenic Col-0 plants. For both constructs, GUS expression was observed in the root but not in the shoot tissues of Al-treated transgenic seedlings, consistent with our real-time RT-PCR results (Figure 2). In the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::GUS transgenic lines, GUS activity was localized to the root meristem, root elongation zone and root-hair regions (Figure 2a-d). In mature regions of the root from above the root-hair region to the root-shoot junction, little GUS staining was observed in the primary root, except in root hairs at the root-shoot junction region and in lateral

roots (Figure 2e,f). In contrast, GUS expression in the *AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::GUS* lines was predominantly localized to mature regions of the root, extending from above the root-hair region (Figure 2 g,h,k) to the root–shoot junction (Figure 2l). In the root-hair region of the primary root, *AtMATE* expression was observed in root hairs but not the rest of this root region (Figure 2j), in contrast to *AtALMT1* expression in this region, which occurred in both root hairs and the epidermis and outer cortex of this primary root region (Figure 2d). It therefore appears that root cell-specific expression of *A*-*tALMT1* and *AtMATE* is complementary, with *AtALMT1* expression localized to the growing root tip (and root hairs), while *AtMATE* expression is localized to the mature root (and root hairs) (Figure 2).

#### Al-activated root citrate and malate exudation in transgenic promoter-swap lines

In the wild-type, Al stress induces a higher level of AtALMT1mediated root malate exudation and a lower level of AtMATE-mediated citrate exudation (Figure 3) (Liu *et al.*, 2009). The rate of root malate exudation is approximately four times higher than that of root citrate exudation (Figure 3) (Liu *et al.*, 2009). The double *AtALMT1-KO/AtMATE-KD* mutant lacks both Al-activated root malate and citrate exudation and is very sensitive to Al stress (Figure 3). These results are consistent with our previous findings (Liu *et al.*, 2009).





(a–f) GUS staining in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::GUS line; (g–l), GUS staining in the AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::GUS line. (a, g) Whole seedlings; (b, h) root meristem, zone of root elongation and root-hair regions; (c, i) higher magnification of the root tip showing the root meristem and zone of root elongation; (d, j) root-hair region; (e, k) mature root; (f, l) the root-shoot junction.



Figure 3. Root malate and citrate exudation in the presence and absence of Al in the various genotypes. Open bars, without Al; closed bars, with Al.

In the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line, the rate of root citrate exudation increased 5.4-fold after 1 day of Al treatment compared to that in the absence of AI, indicating that the transgenic promoter-swap AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE construct restored Al-activated root citrate exudation in the double-KO/KD background (Figure 3). However, the rate of root Al-activated citrate exudation mediated by the transgenic AtMATE gene driven by the AtALMT1 promoter in this line was only approximately 28% of the root Al-activated malate exudation mediated by the native AtALMT1 gene driven by its own promoter in the wild-type background (Figure 3). To examine whether the rates of root organic acid exudation are controlled at least in part by the levels of transcripts of the corresponding transporter genes, we compared the levels of Al-induced AtALMT1 expression in the wild-type line, Al-induced AtMATE expression driven by the transgenic AtALMT1 promoter in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line, and the corresponding Al-activated organic acid exudation in the same two lines (using the data in Figures 1 and 3). We found a strong correlation between the levels of gene expression and the rates of organic acid exudation (correlation coefficient = 0.89). Similarly, the levels of AtMATE expression in the wild-type background line and AtALMT1 expression driven by the transgenic AtMATE promoter in the AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1 line were strongly correlated with the Al-activated root citrate exudation in these two lines (correlation coefficient = 0.84). These results suggest that the promoters of the AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes play an important role in the rate of root organic acid efflux mediated by the transport proteins encoded by each gene in response to Al, presumably via increased abundance of each transport protein.



Figure 4. Aluminum resistance measured as a percentage of relative net root growth (%RRG = root growth in Al/control root growth  $\times$ 100).

### Recovery of AI resistance in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE transgenic line

To evaluate the functions of the promoter-swapped transgenes, we compared the levels of AI resistance for the wild-type, *AtALMT1-KO*, *AtMATE-KD* and *double-KO/KD* mutants, and the two promoter-swap transgenic lines. We found that, after 6 days of AI exposure, root growth was inhibited by approximately 50% for the wild-type plants relative to control root growth in the absence of AI. Compared to the wild-type, the AI resistance measured as a percentage of relative net root growth (RRG%) of *AtALMT1-KO*, *AtMATE-KD* and *double-KO/KD* decreased by 66%, 7% and 75% respectively, confirming our previous findings that *AtALMT1* plays a major role and *AtMATE* plays a smaller role in AI resistance in Arabidopsis (Figure 4 and Table 1).

Transformation of the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE promoterswap construct into the *double-KO/KD* background dramatically increased AI resistance for the transgenic plants. As seen in Figure 4, AI resistance (RRG%) of the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::At-MATE line increased 4.5-fold compared to the *double-KO/KD* line, achieving a level of AI resistance comparable to wild-type plants. However, expression of the other promoter-swap construct, AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1, in the same background led to only a small increase in AI resistance (Figure 4).

#### The AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE transgenic line uses less carbon than the wild-type to achieve the same level of Al resistance

To estimate the carbon-use efficiency for AI resistance in the various genotypes, we normalized AI resistance measured as RRG% to the total carbon released by the roots under AI stress due to malate and citrate efflux. As shown in Table 1 and Figure 5, after AI treatment, the roots of wild-type plants released a mean of 0.52 nmol citrate and 2.05 nmol malate per plant per day. This is equivalent to a release of 3.12 nmol

#### 332 Jiping Liu et al.

Table 1 Al resistance-associated carbon use efficiency for wild type and transgenic lines

	WT	AtALMT1- KO	AtMATE- KD	Double- KO/KD	AtALMT1p:: AtMATE	AtMATEp::AtALMT1
RRG%	51.5 ± 7.4	$17.3 \pm 3.5$	47.7 ± 4.1	12.7 $\pm$ 3.4	55.7 ± 6.4	15.0 ± 3.0
Al-activated citrate efflux <sup>a</sup>	$0.5\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.0$
Al-activated malate efflux <sup>a</sup>	$\textbf{2.1}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$\textbf{2.0} \pm \textbf{0.5}$	$0.2\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.1$
Al-activated citrate + malate efflux <sup>a</sup>	2.6	0.6	2.2	0.4	0.9	0.5
Total Al-activated carbon efflux <sup>a</sup>	11.3	3.4	9.2	1.9	4.7	2.4
Carbon use efficiency <sup>b</sup>	4.6	5.1	5.2	6.8	11.9	6.3

<sup>a</sup>The units are nmol/plant/day.

<sup>b</sup>Carbon use efficiency is the AI resistance (RRG%) normalized by the amount of carbon lost (C) from the roots due to AI resistance (malate + citrate efflux) = RRG%/Total AI-activated carbon efflux. The unit for carbon use efficiency is %/nmol C/plant/day.



Figure 5. Carbon-use efficiency for the various genotypes during AI resistance mediated by AI-activated root malate and citrate efflux. Carbon-use efficiency is calculated as AI resistance (RRG%) normalized to the amount of carbon lost from the roots due to AI resistance (malate + citrate efflux), i.e. RRG%/total AI-activated root carbon efflux.

carbon per plant per day from citrate, which has six carbon atoms, and 8.2 nmol carbon per plant per day originating from malate, which has four carbon atoms, giving a total of 11.3 nmol carbon released per plant per day (Table 1). Thus, the carbon-use efficiency for wild-type plants was estimated as 4.6 units of AI resistance (RRG%) per nmol carbon lost from roots per plant per day (Table 1).

Carbon-use efficiency was also calculated for all of the transgenic lines used in this study, including AtALMT1-KO, AtMATE-KD, double-KO/KD, AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE and At-MATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1. As shown in Table 1, compared to the AtALMT1-KO, AtMATE-KD and double-KO/KD lines, the wild-type line was the most Al-tolerant. However, there was a significant carbon cost for this higher Al resistance, and the carbon use efficiency in wild-type plants was as much as 50% lower than that calculated for the AtALMT1-KO and AtMATE-KD lines (4.6 versus 6.8 in wild-type and double-KO/KD lines, respectively) (Table 1).

Even more interesting, in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line, a significant increase in carbon-use efficiency was seen, almost threefold higher than in wild-type (11.9 versus 4.6) and almost twofold higher than the AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1 line (11.9 versus 6.3). As the difference between the double-KO/KD and AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE lines is due to the presence of the transgenic promoter-swap construct in the latter, the increase in Al resistance in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line is the result of the 3.0-fold increase in Al-activated root citrate exudation mediated by the transgenic AtMATE citrate transporter whose gene expression is driven by the stronger AtALMT1 promoter (Figure 3 and Table 1).

The much higher carbon-use efficiency in the AtAL-MT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line was due to the fact that, although Al resistance and the rate of root citrate exudation for this transgenic line are comparable to that of wild-type, the level of root malate exudation in this AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line is negligible compared with that in wild-type plants, leading to a 58% decrease in total root carbon release in the AtAL-MT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line compared to the wild-type (Table 1).

As described above, the root malate exudation resulting from expression of the AtALMT1 gene driven by its own native AtALMT1 promoter in wild-type plants, and the root citrate exudation resulting from AtMATE expression driven by the transgenic AtALMT1 promoter in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::At-MATE line, are the major determinants for AI resistance in each line (Table 1). Due to the differences in promoter strength of the native and transgenic AtALMT1 promoters (Figure 1), the rate of root malate exudation in the wild-type was 3.6 times higher than that of root citrate exudation in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line (Figure 5 and Table 1). Both lines exhibited similar levels of root citrate exudation; however, both lines displayed comparable levels of Al resistance (Table 1). This is quite interesting, and, as discussed below, is due to the efflux of citrate, a much more effective chelator than malate, being more localized to the growing root tip in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line and not expressed more diffusely throughout the mature root region as it is in wild-type plants. These findings also suggest that the carbon loss due to the large malate efflux in wild-type plants is a significant carbon cost on root growth, and the same level of Al resistance in the *AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE* line with similar citrate and much less malate exudation than wild-type may be due in part to a reduction in this carbon cost.

#### DISCUSSION

Many plant species have adopted a physiological mechanism for AI resistance that involves efflux of AI-chelating organic acids from roots upon Al stress, among which malate and citrate are the most commonly used by various plant species (Kochian et al., 2004, 2005; Ma, 2007; Ryan et al., 2011). Due to differences in chemical structure, particularly three carboxyl groups compared with two in malate, citrate has better Al<sup>3+</sup>-detoxifying capacity than malate (Hue et al., 1986). It has been shown that, to detoxify a particular amount of Al<sup>3+</sup>, an equimolar amount of citric acid is sufficient (Ma et al., 1997); however, 6-8 times more malic acid are required to detoxify the same amount of  $AI^{3+}$ (Delhaize et al., 1993b; Ryan et al., 1995). It is not clear why evolution has resulted in different organic acids being used by different plant species for this Al resistance mechanism. In Arabidopsis, a larger amount of malate and a small amount of citrate are released from the roots in response to Al stress (Magalhaes et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2009). The Alactivated root malate and citrate exudation are mediated by AtALMT1, a functional homolog of TaALMT, and AtMATE, a functional homolog of SbMATE, respectively (Liu et al., 2009). It has been estimated that, in Arabidopsis, Al-activated AtALMT1-mediated root malate exudation accounts for approximately 70% of AI resistance, and AI-activated AtMATE-mediated root citrate exudation accounts for 30% (Liu et al., 2009).

In this study, we further characterized the relationship between AtALMT1 and AtMATE expression and root malate and citrate exudation in Arabidopsis. We found that the levels of Al-induced AtALMT1 and AtMATE transcripts were highly correlated with the levels of Al-activated root malate and citrate exudation, respectively, and the degree of Al resistance in Arabidopsis (Figures 1, 3 and 4). We recognize that the regulation and extent of root malate and citrate efflux most likely involves more than simply alterations in the level of AtALMT1 and AtMATE transcripts and proteins as measured by guantitative RT-PCR assays and expression of promoter::GUS reporter constructs in transgenic plants. Cis-elements and trans-acting factors that regulate AtALMT1 and AtMATE expression, as well as post-transcriptional and post-translational regulation, most likely also play roles in determination of the abundance and localization of the AtALMT1 and AtMATE transcripts and proteins. However, the research presented here focusing on the transcript abundance of these two genes determined by quantitative RT-PCR and the tissue localization and strength of gene expression via promoter::GUS reporter constructs expressed in transgenic plants represents the first steps in a broader future examination of expression of AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes and proteins and the relationship of these processes with root malate and citrate exudation and Arabidopsis AI resistance.

Interestingly, the findings shown in Figure 2 for tissue localization of expression of the two genes suggest that, although both AtALMT1 and AtMATE transcription are induced by Al stress, expression of AtALMT1 is predominantly localized to the root apices, including the root meristem, the zone of root elongation and the region of root-hair growth, whereas AtMATE expression is more diffuse, being localized to the root mature region starting just above the root region where root hairs are seen and extending up the primary root to the root-shoot junction. These results indicate that Al-activated AtALMT1-mediated malate release occurs in the root apical region and Al-activated AtMATE-mediated citrate efflux occurs in the mature part of the roots. It has been well documented that the root apical region is the root region that is most sensitive to Al stress, and the root mature region is less sensitive to such stress, at least with regard to root growth (Ryan et al., 1993; Sivaguru et al., 1999; Sasaki et al., 2004; Rangel et al., 2007). In Arabidopsis, the higher amount of Al-activated malate exudation more densely localized to the root apex, and the lower level of Al-activated citrate release more widely distributed along the mature root regions, explain why malate efflux plays a more important role in Al resistance in Arabidopsis. It is interesting that citrate exudation from the mature root plays a moderate role in Arabidopsis root growth in response to Al. Possibly one aspect of this is to help exclude AI from the rhizosphere next to root regions where new lateral roots are emerging. Overall, our findings indicate that promoter strength, localization of gene expression and the identity of the organic acid released all play important roles in determining the level of AI resistance conferred by organic acid exudation.

To test whether AI resistance and the carbon cost associated with this Al resistance mechanism can be improved by combining a better AI chelator efflux gene with a stronger and more Al-inducible promoter, we used a promoter-swap strategy. Two promoter-swap constructs were made. In the first case, the strong AtALMT1 promoter was used to drive the coding region of the AtMATE gene, whose product mediates the release of citrate, a more effective Al<sup>3+</sup> chelator. In the second case, the weaker AtMATE promoter was fused to the AtALMT1 coding sequence, whose product mediates the efflux of malate, a less effective Al<sup>3+</sup> chelator. Both constructs were individually transformed into the AtALMT1-KO/AtMATE-KD double mutant background, which lacks both Al-activated root malate and citrate exudation, and thus provides a clear background for evaluating the phenotypic effects of the transgenes.

Our results indicated that, in the *double-KO/KD* background, the transgenic *AtALMT1* and *AtMATE* promoters mediated Al-induced expression of the transgenic *AtMATE*  and AtAMLT1 genes, respectively, and the level of Al-induced transgenic AtMATE transcripts was approximately 12-fold higher than that of Al-induced transgenic AtALMT1 transcripts (Figure 1). These results indicate that the 2.1 kb transgenic promoters retained the necessary ciselements for Al-inducible gene expression, and that the transgenic AtALMT1 promoter is much stronger than the AtMATE promoter. However, the levels of Al-induced transgenic AtMATE and AtALMT1 transcripts in the AtAL-MT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE and AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1 lines, respectively, were lower than found in wild-type plants (Figure 1). These results suggest that either some cis-elements necessary for enhancing expression of the transgenes are located in regions other than the 2.1 kb transgenic promoters, and/or the positions of the transgenes in the genome affect their expression (position effects).

Transformation of the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE construct into the double-KO/KD background greatly enhanced its Al resistance; the Al resistance (RRG%) of the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::At-MATE line increased 4.4-fold compared with that in the double-KO/KD line to a level comparable with that of wildtype plants (Figure 4). However, no significant differences in Al resistance between the AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1 line and the double-KO/KD line were found, indicating that the weak promoter in combination with efflux of the weaker Al<sup>3+</sup> chelating organic acid, malate, which is more diffusely localized to the mature root, did not improve Al resistance in the Arabidopsis mutant (Figure 4).

In the wild-type, AI stress activated the release of a large amount of malate ( $2.05 \pm 0.09$  nmol per plant per day) from root apices and a smaller amount of citrate ( $0.52 \pm 0.01$  nmol per plant per day) from the mature root regions (Figures 2 and 3; Table 1). The *AtALMT1-KO* mutant showed a 91% decrease in AI-activated root malate exudation and a 66% decrease in AI resistance (RRG%) (Table 1), and the *AtMATE-KD* line showed a 54% decrease in AI-activated root citrate exudation and a 7% decrease in AI resistance (Table 1). Compared to the wild-type, the rates of AI-activated root citrate and malate exudation in the *double-KO/KD* line were suppressed by 60% and 90%, respectively (Table 1). As a result, the double mutant was more sensitive to AI than the single *AtALMT1-KO* mutant (Figure 4 and Table 1).

Although the level of Al resistance was similar between the wild-type and the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE lines, the rate of Al-activated root malate exudation in wild-type was 3.5-fold higher than the rate of Al-activated root citrate efflux in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line (Table 1). Thus, using the stronger AtALMT1 promoter to drive expression of the citrate efflux transporter results in much less carbon being lost to achieve the same level of Al resistance. In fact, it may be possible that the major reason for the better root growth in response to Al in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line may be the reduced carbon cost, if this cost actually results in somewhat lower root growth in wild-type plants.

There is a lack of quantitative information on the carbon cost to the plant imposed by root exudation of organic acids. The best-studied system for this is the proteoid (cluster) roots of species such as Lupinus alba. In response to P deficiency, the root systems of lupins and related species produce densely packed lateral roots (Johnson et al., 1996a). Associated with this morphological alteration is stimulation of Krebs cycle enzymes associated with citrate and malate synthesis, resulting in large increases in root citrate and malate content and exudation of citrate, and to a lesser degree malate, from the roots (Johnson et al., 1994, 1996b). The exuded citrate and malate chelate Fe and Al oxides on soil particle surfaces, releasing P fixed at these sites and making it available for root uptake. It has been estimated that more than 20% of the total fixed carbon in these lupin plants is released primarily as citrate in response to low P status (Dinkelaker et al., 1989; Johnson et al., 1996b). There has been much less work to quantify the proportion of total C in the plant that is released from cereal roots. Barber and Martin (1976) studied barley and wheat plants grown in either sterile or non-sterile soil for a 3-week period in constant environment chambers with air containing <sup>14</sup>C-labeled CO<sub>2</sub>. They then quantified amount of <sup>14</sup>C-labeled soluble C released by the roots into the soil, and estimated that between 7 and 12% of the dry matter during the 3-week period was released as soluble C into sterile soil, increasing to 18-25% for roots grown in non-sterile soil. Thus, even plant roots of agronomic crop species release a significant proportion of their total C as soluble C from roots, and the soluble C released from roots is primarily organic acids, sugars and amino acids (Jones and Darrah, 1995).

Although there is little information in the literature regarding how much soluble C must be excreted from roots before plant growth is negatively affected, in our own studies with transgenic Arabidopsis plants over-expressing AtALMT1 or AtMATE, the extent of root citrate and malate exudation required to confer Arabidopsis Al resistance appears to impose a measurable cost on the growth of the plant. In transgenic Arabidopsis lines expressing AtALMT1 or AtMATE, there is higher constitutive citrate and malate release in the absence of AI in the transgenic lines compared to wild-type plants. The magnitude of this higher malate or citrate release in the transgenic plants is comparable to the increase in the rate of root citrate and malate release in response to Al in wild-type plants. In wildtype plants, the much lower constitutive malate and citrate release is increased 6-10-fold for malate exudation and 2-4fold for citrate exudation in response to AI (Magalhaes et al., 2007). This increase in citrate and malate release in response to AI in wild-type is due to a combination of AI-induced increases in AtALMT1 and AtMATE gene expression, and Alactivated citrate or malate transport at the level of protein function. When root growth under control conditions (without Al) in the transgenic plants was compared with that in wild-type plants, we observed a 20–30% reduction in root growth in the transgenic lines. The finding of a moderate decrease in root growth in transgenic lines that exhibit a level of malate and citrate exudation in the absence of Al that is similar to the rates of malate/citrate exudation in wild-type plants in the presence of Al is consistent with this level of C loss from roots due to organic acid exudation that exerts a measurable C cost to the plant.

Additionally, the role of microbial decomposition of root-released malate and citrate in the soil needs to be considered with regard to possibly decreasing the effectiveness of this AI resistance mechanism and thus increasing the carbon cost. We have previously shown that malate exuded from roots into soil is readily degraded by soil microbes (Jones et al., 1996b). This also occurs for citrate released from roots into the soil (Jones and Edwards, 1998). Thus, it has been speculated that soil microbial decomposition of organic acids released from roots may have a negative impact on this AI resistance mechanism (Ryan et al., 2001). However, the potential negative impact of microbial degradation on this Al resistance mechanism may be ameliorated by the following processes. First, citrate can be sorbed onto soil surfaces relatively strongly (Jones et al., 1996a), as can malate, albeit less strongly (Jones et al., 1996b). Geelhoed et al. (1999) showed that, when citrate is sorbed onto soil surfaces, it is more resistant to microbial degradation, and thus sorbed citrate could represent a 'protected' pool of citrate in the soil in equilibrium with soluble citrate that chelates Al<sup>3+</sup>. Second, citrate and malate chelation of Al<sup>3+</sup> only needs to occur right at the surface of the root apex (in the unstirred layer just a few microns from the root), and, as the root grows through the soil fairly rapidly and new organic acid is being exuded as the root grows, these processes can keep ahead of microbial degradation.

When comparing the AI resistance and root organic acid exudation profiles of the AtALMT1-KO and AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::At-MATE lines, we found that the levels of Al-activated malate exudation were negligible in both lines compared to wildtype plants. Furthermore, although the levels of Al-activated citrate exudation were similar between these two lines, the Al resistance of the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line was much higher than that of the AtALMT1-KO line (Figure 4 and Table 1). This can be explained by the fact that, in the AtALMT1-KO line, Al-activated citrate efflux was driven by the native AtMATE promoter, whose expression is diffusely distributed along the mature regions of the roots (Figure 2), while in the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE line, the Al-activated citrate exudation is primarily driven by the transgenic AtALMT1 promoter, whose expression is more discretely localized to the root apex, the primary site of Al toxicity (Figure 2). Thus, although these two lines released similar amounts of citrate upon Al stress (Table 1, P = 0.14), the localization of citrate release in the roots of each line contributed to the significantly different levels of Al resistance.

In conclusion, the results presented here demonstrate that the nature of the organic acids involved in the Al detoxification process affect the efficiency of AI resistance. Furthermore, a previously unexplored aspect of this mechanism of Al resistance is the carbon cost associated with citrate and malate efflux. Plants have evolved mechanisms to reduce unnecessary cost. Thus malate and citrate release primarily occur only when Al stress occurs, to minimize organic acid efflux in the absence of Al. Also, many plant species restrict the organic acid released to the region of the root that most needs to be protected from AI toxicity, i.e. the root apex. Our results suggest that science can improve upon what plants normally do by employing a combination of a strong Al-inducible promoter that is expressed in the most critical root region with efflux of the organic acid that is the most effective Al<sup>3+</sup> chelator, to generate genotypes with enhanced Al resistance and carbon-use efficiency. These findings may be useful in future generation of highly carbon use-efficient Al-tolerant crop cultivars through genetic engineering and possibly molecular breeding.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

#### **Plant materials**

The Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion mutants *AtALMT1-KO* (SALK\_009629) and *AtMATE-KD* (SALK\_081671) were acquired from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (https://abrc.osu.edu/). The homozygous double *AtALMT1-KO/AtMATE-KD* mutant was generated as described by Liu *et al.* (2009).

#### Cloning promoter-swap constructs and generation of promoter-swapped transgenic plants

The AtALMT1 and AtMATE promoters were amplified by PCR from wild-type (Col-0) genomic DNA as 2.1 kb fragments upstream of their translational start codon. The forward and reverse primers for the AtALMT1 promoter were 5'-AATAGGTACCGGTGGTGGTTTAG TAGTGAT-3' (underlined bases indicate the Kpnl site) and 5'-AATACCCGGGACACCTTTTGATGGTCACTCAGCT-3' (underlined bases indicate the Xmal site) respectively, and the forward and reverse primers for the AtMATE promoter were 5'-AATAGAG CTCCCAGCTTATGTGAACTACCA-3' (underlined bases indicate the Sacl site) and 5'-AATACCCGGGCTTCAGACATCATTAAGAGATGT TACTG-3' (underlined bases indicate the Xmal site), respectively. Genomic fragments of 2.3 and 2.5 kb spanning the complete coding sequence for the AtALMT1 and AtMATE genes, respectively, were obtained by PCR using forward primers 5'-AATACCCGGG ATGGAGAAAGTGAGAGAGAGAT-3' (underlined bases indicate the Xmal site) and 5'-AATACCCGGGATGATGTCTGAAGATGGCTAC-3' (underlined bases indicate the Xmal site) for AtALMT and AtMATE, respectively, and reverse primers 5'-AATAGGTGACCTTACTGA AGATGCCCATTAC-3' (underlined bases indicate the BstEll site) and 5'-AATAGGTGACCTCAGCTCCTAAGAAAAGACCA-3' (underlined bases indicate the *Bst*Ell site) for *AtALMT* and *AtMATE*, respectively.

To generate the AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::AtMATE promoter-swap construct, the amplified AtALMT1 promoter and AtMATE coding sequence fragments were digested with Kpnl/Xmal and Xmal/BstEll,

#### 336 Jiping Liu et al.

respectively, followed by three-way ligation of the digested PCR fragments with the pCAMBIA1305.1 vector (http://www.cambia.org/ daisy/cambialabs/3703.html) cut with *Kpnl/Bst*Ell. Similarly, the *AtMATE<sub>P</sub>::AtALMT1* promoter-swap construct was generated by three-way ligation of the *AtMATE* promoter fragment, the *AtALMT1* coding sequence fragment and the pCAMBIA1305.1 vector. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing.

The promoter-swap constructs were individually transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 (Invitrogen, http://www.invitrogen.com/) by electroporation, followed by transformation into the *double-KO/KD* mutant plants.

Approximately 100 independent  $T_2$  lines transformed with either of the promoter-swap constructs were tested for root growth in hydroponics as described by Hoekenga *et al.* (2006). Representative homozygous  $T_3$  transgenic lines were used for subsequent experiments.

#### **GUS** staining assays

The 2.1 kb AtALMT1 and AtMATE promoters were PCR-amplified as described above. The promoter fragments were then cut with Pstl and Ncol and ligated into the Pstl/Ncol double-digested pCAM-BIA1305.1 vector. The resulting AtALMT1<sub>P</sub>::GUS and AtMATE<sub>P</sub>:: GUS constructs were individually transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, followed by transformation into the wild-type (Col-0) as described above.

The forward and reverse primers for the *AtALMT1* promoter were: 5'-aatactgcagGGTGGTGGTGGTTAGTAGTGAT-3' (lower-case letters indicate the adaptor of the primer, underlined bases indicate the *Pst* site) and 5'-aataccatggACACCTTTTGATGGTCACTCAGCT-3' (underlined bases indicate the *Ncol* site), respectively. The forward and reverse primers for the *AtMATE1* promoter were: 5'-aatactg-caggcTTAGAGGTGCATATCGGA-3' (underlined bases indicate the *Pst1* site) and 5'-aataccatggCTTCAGACATCATTAAGAGATGT-TACTG-3' (underlined bases indicate the *Ncol* site), respectively.

#### RNA isolation and quantitative real-time RT-PCR

Plants were germinated and grown in hydroponic culture as described by Hoekenga *et al.* (2006). On the 6th day, seedlings were transferred to new hydroponic growth solutions supplemented with or without 1.5  $\mu$ m Al<sup>3+</sup> activity for 1 day. Then, root samples were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted as described by Liu *et al.* (2009) except that random primers were used instead of the oligo(dT)<sub>16</sub> primer.

Quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed as described by Liu *et al.* (2009), except that, for direct comparison of the *AtMATE* and *AtALMT1* gene expression, the relative expression levels of both genes were normalized against a common calibrator in a single real-time PCR experiment.

#### Analysis of organic acid exudation from roots

Arabidopsis lines were grown in hydroponic culture (pH 4.2) for 6 days, followed by 1 day of treatment with or without AI as described by Liu *et al.* (2009). Seedlings were then transferred to exudation solution (pH 4.2) supplemented with or without AI for 1 day, followed by measurement of organic acid content as described by Liu *et al.* (2009).

#### Root growth and measurement

Root growth experiments were performed in hydroponic culture with or without Al treatment as described by Hoekenga *et al.* (2006). Root length measurements were performed after 6 days of growth in hydroponic culture.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Generation Challenge grant number G3008.02.

#### REFERENCES

- Barber, D.A. and Martin, J.K. (1976) The release of organic substances by cereal roots into soil. *Ibid*, 76, 69–80.
- Berg, J.M., Tymoczko, J.L. and Stryer, L. (2002) The citric acid cycle, In *Biochemistry*, 5th edn. (Moran, S., ed). W.H. Freeman and Company, New York: pp. 465–487..
- Collins, N.C., Shirley, N.J., Saeed, M., Pallotta, M. and Gustafson, J.P. (2008) An ALMT1 gene cluster controlling aluminum tolerance at the *Alt4* locus of rye (*Secale cereale* L.). *Genetics*, **179**, 669–692.
- Delhaize, E., Ryan, P.R. and Randall, P.J. (1993b) Aluminum tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) II. Aluminum-stimulated excretion of malic acid from root apices. *Plant Physiol.* 103, 695–702.
- Delhaize, E., Craig, S., Beaton, C.D., Bennet, R.J., Jagadish, V.C. and Randall, P.J. (1993a) Aluminum tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). I. Uptake and distribution of aluminum in root apices. *Plant Physiol.* 103, 685–693.
- Dinkelaker, B., Römheld, V. and Marschner, H. (1989) Citric acid exudation and precipitation of calcium citrate in the rhizosphere of white lupin (*Lupinus albus L.*). *Plant, Cell Environ.* **12**, 265–292.
- Furukawa, J., Yamaji, N., Wang, H., Mitani, N., Murata, Y., Sato, K., Katsuhara, M., Takeda, K. and Ma, J.F. (2007) An aluminum-activated citrate transporter in barley. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 48, 1081–1091.
- Geelhoed, J.S., Van Riemsdijk, W.H. and Findenegg, G.R. (1999) Simulation of the effect of citrate exudation from roots on the plant availability of phosphate adsorbed on goethite. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 50, 379–390.
- Hoekenga, O.A., Maron, L.G., Piñeros, M.A. et al. (2006) AtALMT1, which encodes a malate transporter, is identified as one of several genes critical for aluminum tolerance in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 103, 9738–9743.
- Horst, W.J., Wang, Y.X. and Eticha, D. (2010) The role of the root apoplast in aluminum-induced inhibition of root elongation and in aluminum resistance of plants: a review. Ann. Bot. 106, 185–197.
- Hue, N.V., Craddock, G.R. and Adams, F. (1986) Effect of organic acids on aluminum toxicity in subsoils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 50, 28–34.
- Johnson, J.F., Allan, D.L. and Vance, C.P. (1994) Phosphorus stress-induced proteoid roots show altered metabolism in *Lupinus albus*. *Plant Physiol*. 104, 657–665.
- Johnson, J.F., Vance, C.P. and Allan, D.L. (1996a) Phosphorus deficiency in *Lupinus albus*. Altered lateral root development and enhanced expression of phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase. *Plant Physiol*. **112**, 31–41.
- Johnson, J.F., Allan, D.L., Vance, C.P. and Weiblen, G. (1996b) Root carbon dioxide fixation by phosphorus-deficient *Lupinus albus*. Contribution to organic acid exudation by proteoid roots. *Plant Physiol.* **112**, 19–30.
- Jones, D.L. and Darrah, P.R. (1995) Influx and efflux of organic acids across the soil-root interface of *Zea mays* L. and its implications in rhizosphere C flow. *Plant Soil*, **173**, 103–109.
- Jones, D.L. and Edwards, A.C. (1998) Influence of sorption on the biological utilization of two simple carbon substrates. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 30, 1895– 1902.
- Jones, D.L., Probowo, A.M. and Kochian, L.V. (1996a) Aluminum–organic acid interactions in acid soils. II. Influence of solid phase sorption on organic acid–Al complexation and Al rhizotoxicity. *Plant Soil*, **182**, 229–237.
- Jones, D.L., Probowo, A.M. and Kochian, L.V. (1996b) Kinetics of malate transport and decomposition in acid soils and isolated bacterial populations: the effect of microorganisms on root exudation of malate under Al stress. *Plant Soil*, **182**, 239–247.
- Kochian, L.V., Hoekenga, O.A. and Piñeros, M.A. (2004) How do crop plants tolerate acid soils? Mechanisms of aluminum tolerance and phosphorus efficiency Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 55, 459–493.
- Kochian, L.V., Piñeros, M.A. and Hoekenga, O.A. (2005) The physiology, genetics and molecular biology of plant aluminum resistance and toxicity. *Plant Soil*, 274, 175–195.
- Larson, P.B., Geisler, M.J.B., Rounds, M. and Ochoa, V. (2007) Arabidopsis ALS1 encodes a root tip and stele localized half type ABC transporter required for root growth in an aluminum toxic environment. *Planta*, 225, 1447–1458.

- Ligaba, A., Katsuhara, M., Ryan, P.R., Shibasaka, M. and Matsumoto, H. (2006) The BnALMT1 and BnALMT2 genes from rape encode aluminumactivated malate transporters that enhance the aluminum resistance of plant cells. Plant Physiol. 142, 1294–1303.
- Liu, J.P., Magalhaes, J.V., Shaff, J. and Kochian, L.V. (2009) Aluminum-activated citrate and malate transporters from the MATE and ALMT families function independently to confer Arabidopsis aluminum tolerance. *Plant J.* 57, 389–399.
- Ma, J.F. (2000) Role of organic acids in detoxification of aluminum in higher plants. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 41, 383–390.
- Ma, J.F. (2007) Syndrome of aluminum toxicity and diversity of aluminum resistance in higher plants. *Survey Cell Biol.* 264, 225–252.
- Ma, J.F., Hiradate, S., Nomoto, K., Iwashita, T. and Matsumoto, H. (1997) Internal detoxification mechanism of Al in hydrangea: identification of Al form in the leaves. *Plant Physiol.* **113**, 1033–1039.
- Magalhaes, J.V., Garvin, D.F., Wang, Y.H., Sorrels, M.E., Klein, P.E., Schaffer, R.E., Li, L. and Kochian, L.V. (2004) Comparative mapping of a major aluminum tolerance gene in sorghum and other species in the Poaceae. *Genetics*, 167, 1905–1914.
- Magalhaes, J.V., Liu, J., Guimarães, C.T. et al. (2007) A gene in the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family confers aluminum tolerance in sorghum. Nature Genet. 39, 1156–1161.
- Maron, L.G., Piñeros, M.A., Guimaraes, C.T., Magalhaes, J.V., Pleiman, J.K., Mao, C.Z., Shaff, J., Belicuas, S.N.J. and Kochian, L.V. (2010) Two functionally distinct members of the MATE (multi-drug and toxic compound extrusion) family of transporters potentially underlie two major aluminum tolerance QTLs in maize. *Plant J.* 61, 728–740.
- Matsumoto, H. (2000) Cell biology of aluminum toxicity and tolerance in higher plants. Int. Rev. Cytol. 200, 1–46.
- Raman, H., Zhang, K.R., Cakir, M. et al. (2005) Molecular characterization and mapping of Almt1, the aluminum-tolerance gene of bread wheat (*Triticum* aestivum L.). Genome, 48, 781–791.
- Rangel, A.F., Rao, I.M. and Horst, W.J. (2007) Spatial aluminium sensitivity of root apices of two common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) genotypes with contrasting aluminium resistance. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 3895–3904.
- Rao, I.M., Zeigler, R.S., Vera, R. and Sarkarung, S. (1993) Selection and breeding for acid-soil tolerance in crops. *Bioscience*, 43, 454–465.
- Ryan, P.R., DiTomaso, J.D. and Kochian, L.V. (1993) Aluminum toxicity in roots. An investigation of spatial sensitivity and the role of the root cap. J. Exp. Bot. 44, 437–446.

- Ryan, P.R., Delhaize, E. and Randall, P.J. (1995) Malate efflux from root apices and tolerance to aluminum are highly correlated in wheat. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol*, 22, 531–536.
- Ryan, P.R., Delhaize, E. and Jones, D.L. (2001) Function and mechanism of organic anion exudation from plant roots. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 52, 527–560.
- Ryan, P.R., Raman, H., Gupta, S., Horst, W.J. and Delhaize, E. (2009) A second mechanism for aluminum resistance in wheat relies on the constitutive efflux of citrate from roots. *Plant Physiol.* 149, 340–351.
- Ryan, P.R., Tyerman, S.D., Sasaki, T., Furuichi, T., Yamamoto, Y., Zhang, W.H. and Delhaize, E. (2011) The identification of aluminium-resistance genes provides opportunities for enhancing crop production on acid soils. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 9–20.
- Sasaki, T., Yamamoto, Y., Ezaki, B., Katsuhara, M., Ahn, S.J., Ryan, P.R., Delhaize, E. and Matsumoto, H. (2004) A wheat gene encoding an aluminum-activated malate transporter. *Plant J.* 37, 645–653.
- Sivaguru, M., Baluška, F., Volkmann, D., Felle, H.H. and Horst, W.J. (1999) Impacts of aluminum on the cytoskeleton of the maize root apex. Short-term effects on the distal part of the transition zone. *Plant Physiol.* 11, 1073–1082.
- Sivaguru, M., Ezaki, B., He, Z.H., Tong, H.Y., Osawa, H., Baluska, F., Volkmann, D. and Matsumoto, H. (2003) Aluminum-induced gene expression and protein localization of a cell wall-associated receptor kinase in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **132**, 2256–2266.
- von Uexküll, H.R. and Mutert, E. (1995) Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. In *Plant–Soil Interactions at Low pH: Principles and Management* (Date, R.A., Grundon, N.J., Raymet, G.E. and Probert, M.E., eds). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 5–19.
- Wood, S., Sebastian, K. and Scherr, S.J. (2000) Soil resource condition. In Pilot Analysis of Global Ecosystems: Agroecosystems (Hutter, C., ed). Washington DC: International Food Policy Research Institute and the World Resources Institute, pp. 45–54.
- Yamamoto, Y., Kobayashi, Y., Devi, S.R., Rikiishi, S. and Matsumoto, H. (2002) Aluminum toxicity is associated with mitochondrial dysfunction and the production of reactive oxygen species in plant cells. *Plant Physiol.* **128**, 63– 72.
- Yokosho, K., Yamaji, N. and Ma, J.F. (2010) Isolation and characterisation of two MATE genes in rye. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 37, 296–303.